IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

AGUINALDO et al.

Application No. 10/820,467

Filed: 03/30/2004

For: Interferon Variants with Improved

Properties

Examiner: HISSONG, Bruce D.

Art Unit: 1646

Conf. No.: 7268

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.6(a)(4)

I hereby certify that this correspondence, including listed enclosures, is being electronically transmitted in Portable Document Form (PDF) through EFS-Web via Hyper Text Transfer Protocol to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on:

Dated:	October 2, 2007

igned:/ Victoria Poulsen

PETITION TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.181(a)

Mail Stop Petitions

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants hereby submit a Petition to Withdraw Holding of Abandonment under 35 CFR 1.181(a). As provided by MPEP 711.03(c)(I), Applicants believe that no fee is due.

Statement of Facts

- 1. On July 1, 2005, Applicants filed a change of address associated with customer number 32940. The Request for Customer Number Data Change, enclosed herewith as Exhibit A, shows that at least as of July 1, 2005, Applicants were to receive all further correspondence at 555 California Street.
- 2. In July of 2005, the Applicants filed a change of address with the United States Postal Service.
- 3. Roughly a year later, presumably sometime in July of 2006, the United States Postal Service discontinued the forwarding of mail to Applicants' new address at 555 California Street, in accordance with Postal Service procedures.

Application No. 10/820,467 Filed: 03/30/2004

- 4. On September 15, 2006, the Office mailed an Office Action to Applicants' previous address at Four Embarcadero Center.
- 5. On September 25, 2006, the Office received the Office Action, which was returned to the Office as undeliverable.
 - 6. On December 1, 2006, attorneys for Applicants moved to a new law firm.
- 7. On March 16, 2007, the six month statutory period for submitting a response to the Office Action lapsed. Applicants did not submit a response to the Office Action within this period.
- 8. On March 22, 2007, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed to Applicants' previous address at Four Embarcadero Center.
- 9. On April 2, 2007, the Office received the Notice of Abandonment, which was returned to the Office as undeliverable.
 - 10. Applicants never received the Office Action mailed September 15, 2006.
- 11. All Office communications received by Applicants are docketed in Applicants' docketing system.
- 12. A search of the file jacket and docket records from September 15, 2006, (the date of mailing of the Office Action) to March 22, 2007 (the date of mailing of the Notice of Abandonment) indicates that the Office Action was not received.
- 13. Exhibit B enclosed herewith shows Applicants' docket record from September 15, 2006, to December 1, 2006 for the present application.
- 14. Exhibit C enclosed herewith shows Applicants' docket record from December 1, 2006 to March 22, 2007. Because of the change in law firms, Docket No. 28340/US/4 in Exhibit B corresponds to Docket No. 67461-5103 in Exhibit C. In Exhibit C, a query on Attorney Docket No. 67461-5103 would show docket entries for the present case, Application No. 10/820,467. Exhibit C indicates no docket entries during the period spanning December 1, 2006 to March 22, 2007.
- 15. Exhibit D enclosed herewith shows all of the docket entries for the present application. Entries with respect to the Office Action mailed September 15, 2006, were entered,

Application No. 10/820,467 Filed: 03/30/2004

as indicated, on June 1, 2007, which was *after* the date of mailing of the Notice of Abandonment on April 2, 2007.

Non-receipt and non-docketing of the Office Action mailed September 15, 2006, is not in dispute.

The file history clearly shows that Applicants did not receive the Office Action mailed September 15, 2006. The United States Postal Service returned to the Office both the original Office Action mailed September 15, 2006, and the original Notice of Abandonment as undeliverable. In addition, Applicants have attached docket records that indicate that those office communications were never received and docketed. Based on the return to the Office of the original office communications as well as the attached docket records, Applicants do not believe that further documentary evidence is necessary to prove non-receipt of the office communication to which reply was required to avoid abandonment. Applicants note that in light of the Office's efforts "to minimize costs and burdens to the practitioner and the Office" in situations such as this, the attached docket record should be deemed sufficient evidence for the present petition. See 1156 OG 53 (October 25, 1993).

The Office has failed to follow proper procedure in notifying Applicants of actions taken by the Office.

Because of the Office's failure to follow its own procedure for notification set forth in the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure as well as Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations, the instant application has been improperly held abandoned.

37 CFR 1.32(a)(5)(i) states that "Customer Number means a number that may be used to [d]esignate the correspondence address of a patent application or patent such that the correspondence address for the patent application, patent or other patent proceeding would be the address associated with the Customer Number[.]" The Office will direct all notices, official letters, and other communications relating to the application to the correspondence address. 37 CFR 1.33(a).

Where multiple addresses are associated with an application, the Office must select the address associated with a Customer Number. See 37 CFR 1.33(a) ("If more than one correspondence address is specified in a single document, the Office will select one of the specified addresses for use as the correspondence address and, if given, will select the address associated with a Customer Number over a typed correspondence address."). As the Office will

Application No. 10/820,467 Filed: 03/30/2004

not recognize more than one correspondence address, 37 CFR 1.33(a), any inconsistencies between the correspondence address resulting from a Customer Number being provided in an application for the correspondence address and any other correspondence address provided in that application will generally be resolved in favor of the address of the Customer Number. MPEP 403(I).

The relocation of Applicants' Representatives resulted in the appearance of more than one correspondence address in the file wrapper of the instant application. The rules require that that such inconsistency be resolved in favor of the address of the Customer Number. The procedure for sending correspondence to the address associated with a Customer Number was not followed in the instant application, resulting in nonreceipt of an Office Action and a subsequent holding of abandonment. The Office's failure to adhere to the procedure provided in the Code of Federal Regulations and the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure has further resulted in a loss of potential patent term.

Application No. 10/820,467 has not been abandoned and the holding of abandonment must be withdrawn.

Although a Notice of Abandonment has been mailed for the instant application, Applicants contend that the application is not in fact abandoned.

35 USC 132(a) requires that "[w]henever, on examination, any claim for a patent is rejected, or any objection or requirement made, the Director shall notify the applicant thereof[.]" Failure to prosecute the application within six months of the mailing of an office action results in the application being regarded as abandoned. 35 USC 133. However, the Office is compelled to withdraw the holding of abandonment and to remail any correspondence where Applicants have shown that they have not received the Office communication to which reply is required to avoid abandonment. See Delgar, Inc. v. Schulyer, 172 USPQ 513 (D.D.C. 1971); see also MPEP 711.03(c)(1).

To establish nonreceipt of an Office communication, the practitioner must state that the Office communication was not received by the practitioner and must attest to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office communication was not received. MPEP 711.03(c)(I)(A). A copy of the docket record where the nonreceived Office communication would have been entered had it been received and docketed must be attached to and referenced in practitioner's statement. *Id*.

Attorney Docket No. 067461-5103-US01

Application No. 10/820,467 Filed: 03/30/2004

As stated above, the Office Action of September 15, 2006, was not received by Applicants. A search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office communication was not received. Applicants have enclosed a copy of the docket record where the nonreceived Office communication would have been entered had it been received and docketed. *See* Exhibits B, C & D.

Having complied with requirements set forth in *Delgar* and MPEP 711.03(c), Applicants have satisfied the showing required to establish that the Office Action of September 15, 2006, was never received. Applicants therefore request that the Petition to Withdraw the Holding of Abandonment be granted and that the Office remail the outstanding Office Action.

In addition, the Applicants note that any patent issuing from Application No. 10/820,467 is subject to patent term adjustment based on the mistake of the Office. 35 USC 154(b)(1)(A)(ii) provides that if the issue of a patent is delayed due to the failure of the Office to respond to a reply under section 132 within 4 months after the date on which the reply was filed, the term of the patent shall be extended 1 day for each day after such period until the Office has responded. As such, Applicants request that the Patent Term Adjustment calculation include this time period.

The Director is invited to call the undersigned at 415.442.1000. The Director is authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required or to credit any overpayment or refunds to Deposit Account No. 50-0310 (Docket No. 067461-5103-US01).

Respectfully submitted,

Dated

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

One Market, Spear Street Tower

San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: 415.442.1000 Facsimile: 415.442.1001 Customer No. 67374

EXHIBIT A

07/01/2005 12:46 FAX 415 398 3249

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP-SF

@ 002/002

PTOCENTA-A (04-05)

Approved for use through 677217004. Ose old 1-035

U.S. Preset and Trustment Office, U.S. OEPARTMENT OF COMMINCE

U.S. Preset and Trustment Office, U.S. OEPARTMENT OF COMMINCE

U.S. Preset and Trustment Office, U.S. OEPARTMENT OF COMMINCE

A distance of behaviories uples & employe a valid Olds system merger,

A distance of the committee of t

Customer Number Data Change

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Sex 1450 Alexandrie, VA 22313-1450

To the Commi	helaner for P	mignitis.					32	940		
Please record	the following	debi changes to	Cvetomer	Munber	٠ ــــــ					
Please	change Ad	idress to:			-					
Firm or Individual Name	Dorsey & Wh				: 					
Address	565 Culforni	Servet, Suite 1000						21	m 24	104-1513
City	Sun Francis			State	I CA				- 1-	
Country	USA				de de la constante de la const					
Telephore	(415) 781-18	et					-	-4		indicated above:
Please	a delete th	following practi	tioner rec		Linuper(s) from	the Cu	AUTICE IN		indicated above:
				الما المناسمة						
			_							
					·	<u> </u>				
7	1.4 eb - 2	clowing practition	oer recibi	retion (1	umber(s)	tom t	w Cuek	mer Num	ber a	bove:
Plea		Cucavid brasis			~.					
					; =	} ==				
					<u>م</u>	<u> </u>			<u></u>	
		r registration numbe	المساويين أنشارين ي	on suppli	Militaria apar	1 (a) (a)	ched hen	to (PTO/SE	7246	or equivalent)
Addition 1	one precedent	A: (white po a becan it indigration unape	of the legal	aret pres	dine, H	ا استولیده	of the ca	Spine, sou		ONLI SPOACI
Firm Name	(H applicat	Dersay, & WN	may LLP		·					
Signature	***	FR	ST ST	2	.55			Registrat	Son.	T
Name of P	pressi	Richard F. TN			·	······································		No.		31,801
Submitting Telephone	Number	(415) 761,191	9		" 		Date	July 1, 200	usion is	to the (and by the USPTO

This plantation of information is required by 37 GPE 1.58. The information is required to clearly or relate a leastle by the public which is in the (after by the USP 10 present) are hyperfection. Considerating in presented by 30 U.S.C. 122 and 37 GPE 1.15 and 1.14. This contends to referring to the 12 relation to complete, by the present to the present public public presents are the public p

If you need asubtanous in completing the form, self 1-\$00-PTD-8190 (1-800-706-9190) and select option.

EXHIBIT B

Patent Record Sheet

Docket ID	28340/US/4			Attorneys SILVA Robin M., NGUY PARKER AnnEllice, WC Timothy A.			
Former ID	SF-A-71431-004/US		Office	•	San Franc	cisco	
Client	Xencor, Inc.		Appli	cation No	10/820467		
Client-Matter	463077-00274			cation Date	Mar-30-2004		
Client Ref. No			Grant	: No			
Country	United States of America		Grant	Date			
Short Title	INTERFERON VARIANTS WITH IMPROVED PROPERTIES			1st Publication No US-2005-0054053 1st Publication Date Mar-10-2005 Expiration Date Priority Date			
Inventors	MUCHHAL Umesh S., MARSHALL Shannon Alicia Anna Marie, DESJARLAIS John Rudolf, ZHUKOVS BEYNA Amelia Joy, CHO Ho Sung, VILLEGAS* Mi Aquino, QUENSENBERRY Michael Stephen	Licen	License of Right Small Entity				
Convention	Pri. Claimed	Claimed Priorities and Parent Records					
Origin	1	Relation	Docket ID	Application	No	Date	Primary
_		Base Provisional	28340/US/1	60/415541		10/1/2002	
Туре	Patent	Base Continuation-	28340/US/3	10/676705		9/30/2003	
Sub Type Status Sub Status	Continuation-in-part Inactive Transferred		Foreign As & Ref. No. Tax Receiv				

Docket

Action / Event Notes	Reminder	Due	Done/Recorded
*Awaiting 1st OA		Dec-25-2006	
Response to Restriction Requirement filed 8/25/06; RE-DOCKETED FROM 10/25/	06		
Priority Claim Due		Jul-30-2004	Jan-01-1850
6 Mo CIP New Matter Foreign Filing Rem		Sep-30-2004	Jan-01-1850
3 Mo CIP New Matter Foreign Filing Rem		Dec-30-2004	Jan-01-1850
1 Mo CIP New Matter Foreign Filing Rem		Feb-28-2005	Jan-01-1850
CIP Foreign Filing New Matter Deadline		Mar-30-2005	Jan-01-1850
IDS Due		Apr-30-2005	Jan-01-1850
RE-DOCKETED FROM 6/30/2004; NOT NEEDED - ALREADY FILED PER E-MAI	L FROM RMS 3/28/20	05	
Resp to Restr Requirement Due w/o EOT		Jul-27-2006	Jan-01-1850
Application Date			Mar-30-2004
*Notice of Missing Parts Mail Date (See Notes)			Jul-07-2004
Awaiting Filing Receipt		Jul-30-2004	Jul-07-2004
RE-DOCKETED FROM 6/30/2004			
*Notice of Missing Parts - Response Filed			Nov-05-2004
Publ: First			Mar-10-2005

Patent Record Sheet

*Assignment Recordation Received	May-09-2005
*Restriction Requirement Mailing Date	Jun-27-2006
*Response to Restriction Requirement Filed	Aug-25-2006

Memo:

Files have been transferred on 12/12/06 to: Robin Silva, Esq. Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105

EXHIBIT

Actions Due

Thursday, September 27, 2007

Page: 1

Docket Number: 67461-5103

SubCase: 01

Ctry Sub:

Country: US

United States of America

Case Type: CIP

Status: Abandoned

Reply to OA + 2 Month EOT

Reply to OA + 3 Month EOT

Filing Date: 30-Mar-2004

Action Type: PA/3OA

Application #: 10/820,467

Base Date: 15-Sep-2006

Response sent date:

Due Date

Final

Action(s) Due	Due Date	Indicator	Taken
Reply to OA - 1 Month	15-Nov-2006	Reminder	01-Jan-1850
Reply to OA	15-Dec-2006	Due Date	01-Jan-1850
Reply to OA + 1 Month EOT	15-Jan-2007	Due Date	01-Jan-1850

15-Feb-2007

15-Mar-2007

Remarks:

Created By: MP070941

User ID: MP070941

01-Jan-1850 01-Jan-1850

Date Created: 01-Jun-2007

Last Update: 29-Aug-2007